

Topological susceptibility and string tension in CP^{N-1} models *

Massimo Campostrini, Paolo Rossi, and Ettore Vicari

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa,
Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, I-56126 Pisa, Italy.*

October 6th, 1992

Abstract

We determined the topological susceptibility and the string tension in the lattice CP^{N-1} models for a wide range of values of N , in particular for $N = 4, 10, 21, 41$. Quantitative agreement with the large- N predictions is found for the CP^{20} and the CP^{40} models.

1 INTRODUCTION

The most attractive feature of two dimensional CP^{N-1} models is their similarity with the Yang-Mills theories in four dimensions. Most properties of CP^{N-1} models have been obtained in the context of the $1/N$ expansion around the large- N saddle point solution. An alternative and more general non-perturbative approach is the simulation of the theory on the lattice. It is then important to perform Monte Carlo simulations of the lattice CP^{N-1} models to check the validity and the range of applicability of the $1/N$ expansion.

The large- N expansion predicts an exponential area law behavior for sufficiently large Wilson loops, which implies confinement, with a string tension $O(1/N)$, and absence of screening due to the dynamical matter fields. CP^{N-1} models have a non-trivial topological structure; at large N the topological susceptibility turns out to be $O(1/N)$. In this talk the features of CP^{N-1} models concerning confinement and topology are investigated. In order to study the approach to the large- N asymptotic regime, we performed numerical simulations for a wide range of values of N , in particular $N = 4, 10, 21, 41$. A more detailed discussion of these simulations and of their results can be found in Refs. [1, 2, 3].

*Talk presented at the *Lattice '92* Conference, Amsterdam.

2 LATTICE FORMULATION

We regularize the theory on the lattice by considering the following action:

$$S_g = -N\beta \sum_{n,\mu} (\bar{z}_{n+\mu} z_n \lambda_{n,\mu} + \bar{z}_n z_{n+\mu} \bar{\lambda}_{n,\mu}), \quad (1)$$

where z_n is an N -component complex scalar field, satisfying $\bar{z}_n z_n = 1$, and $\lambda_{n,\mu}$ is a $U(1)$ gauge field. We also considered its tree Symanzik improved counterpart S_g^{Sym} in order to test universality.

The standard correlation length ξ_w is extracted from the long-distance behavior of the zero space momentum correlation function G_P of two projector operators $P_{ij}(x) = \bar{z}_i(x) z_j(x)$. We define an alternative correlation length ξ_G from the second moment of the correlation function G_P . For $N = 2$ $\xi_G/\xi_w \simeq 1$ within 1% [4], while the large- N expansion predicts [5]

$$\frac{\xi_G}{\xi_w} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{2/3}}\right). \quad (2)$$

Unlike ξ_w , which is a non-analytic function of $1/N$ around $N = \infty$, ξ_G can be expand in powers of $1/N$ [5].

3 TOPOLOGY

The large- N predictions concerning the topological susceptibility χ_t are [6]

$$\chi_t \xi_G^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \left(1 - \frac{0.3801}{N}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^3}\right), \quad (3)$$

and [7]

$$\chi_t \xi_w^2 = \frac{3}{4\pi N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{5/3}}\right). \quad (4)$$

Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) are not in contradiction with each other due to Eq. (2), but the first one should be testable at lower values of N according to the powers of N in the neglected terms.

A troublesome point in the lattice simulation technique is the study of the topological properties and the determination of χ_t . While for large N the geometrical definition χ_t^g [8] is expected to reproduce the physical topological susceptibility, at low N χ_t^g could receive unphysical contributions from exceptional configurations, called dislocations. The dislocation contributions may either survive in the continuum limit, as it happens for the CP^1 or $O(3)$ σ model, or push the scaling region for χ_t^g to very large β values.

Another approach, the field theoretical method, relies on a definition of topological charge density by a local polynomial in the lattice variables q_L . The

correlation at zero momentum of two q^L operators χ_t^L is related to χ_t through the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_t^L(\beta) &= a^2 Z(\beta)^2 \chi_t + a^2 A(\beta) \langle S(x) \rangle \\ &+ P(\beta) \langle I \rangle + O(a^4).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

$S(x)$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, I is the identity operator. $Z(\beta)$, $P(\beta)$, and $A(\beta)$ are ultraviolet effects, since they originate from the ultraviolet cutoff-dependent modes. The field theoretical method consists in measuring $\chi_t^L(\beta)$, evaluating $Z(\beta)$, $A(\beta)$ and $P(\beta)$, and using Eq. (5) to extract χ_t .

A third method, called cooling method, measures χ_t on an ensemble of configurations cooled by locally minimizing the action [12].

3.1 The CP³ model

We determined χ_t by performing simulations with both actions S_g and S_g^{Sym} and by using different methods. In Fig. 1 the dimensionless quantity $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ is plotted versus ξ_G . Data obtained by the geometrical method, indicated by the filled symbols in Fig. 1, violate universality, showing that $N = 4$ is not large enough to suppress the unphysical configurations contributing to χ_t^g , at least for $\xi \leq 30$.

To apply the field theoretical method, we considered the following lattice operator:

$$q^L(x) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} [P(x) \Delta_\mu P(x) \Delta_\nu P(x)],\tag{6}$$

where Δ_μ is a symmetrized version of the finite derivative. We neglected the contribution of the mixing with $S(x)$; this assumption is supported by perturbative arguments. We obtained non-perturbative estimates of $Z(\beta)$ and $P(\beta)$ by using the “heating method” described in Ref. [11]. This method relies on the distinction between the fluctuations at $l \sim a$, contributing to the renormalizations, and those at $l \sim \xi$ determining the relevant topological properties. Due to the critical slow down phenomenon, fluctuations at $l \sim a$ are soon thermalized, while, for large ξ , the topological charge thermalization is much slower, allowing a direct determination of $Z(\beta)$ (when heating an instanton configuration) and $P(\beta)$ (when heating the flat configuration).

An independent measure of χ_t is obtained using the cooling method. The results of both field theoretical and cooling methods are shown in Fig. 1. Scaling, universality, and good agreement between the two methods are observed.

In conclusion, we quote for the CP³ model $\chi_t \xi_G^2 \simeq 0.06$ with an uncertainty of about 10%.

3.2 The CP^9 model

We measured χ_t by using the geometrical definition. Data were taken for ξ_G up to about 10 lattice units. Data for S_g show a slow approach to scaling, while for S_g^{Sym} a better behavior is observed. For S_g the leading scaling violation term must be $O(\ln \xi/\xi^2)$ when $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ [9]. For the tree Symanzik improved actions the leading logarithmic corrections are absent, and scale violations are $O(\xi^{-2})$ [10]. In Fig. 2 we plot $\chi_t^g \xi_G^2$ versus $\ln \xi_G/\xi_G^2$. Assuming that the scaling violation term proportional to $\ln \xi/\xi^2$ is already dominant in our range of correlation lengths, we extrapolated data of χ_t^g for the action S_g . We found $\chi_t^g \xi_G^2 = 0.0174(12)$, which is in agreement with the value of $\chi_t^g \xi_G^2$ obtained with the action S_g^{Sym} . We then conclude that for the CP^9 model χ_t^g is a good estimator of the topological susceptibility.

3.3 The CP^{20} and CP^{40} models

As shown in Fig. 3 for both the CP^{20} and CP^{40} models, data of χ_t , obtained by using the geometrical method, are consistent with the large- N prediction (3), whose results are indicated by the dashed lines. Fitting the data for the CP^{20} model, we found $\chi_t \xi_G^2 = 0.0076(3)$, to be compared with the value $\chi_t \xi_G^2 = 0.00744$ coming from Eq. (3). However, the above result still disagrees with Eq. (4), which would require $\xi_G^2/\xi_w^2 \simeq 2/3$, while we found $\xi_G^2/\xi_w^2 \simeq 0.91$ in our simulations.

In Fig. 4 we summarize our results by plotting $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ versus $1/N$, showing how the topological susceptibility approaches the large- N asymptotic behavior (3), represented by the solid line.

4 CONFINEMENT

The large- N prediction for the string tension σ is

$$\sigma \xi_G^2 = \frac{\pi}{N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

The string tension can be easily extracted by measuring the Creutz ratios defined by

$$\chi(R, T) = \ln \frac{W(R, T-1) W(R-1, T)}{W(R, T) W(R-1, T-1)}. \quad (8)$$

where $W(R, T)$ are the Wilson loops constructed with the λ_μ field. In a 2-d finite lattice with periodic boundary conditions, the large abelian Wilson loops of a confining theory are subject to large finite size effects. For sufficiently large R the behavior of the Creutz ratios $\eta(R) \equiv \chi(R, R)$, i.e. of those with equal

arguments, should be [1]:

$$\eta(R) \simeq \sigma \left[1 - \left(\frac{2R-1}{L} \right)^2 \right], \quad (9)$$

where L is the lattice size. To compare data from different lattices it is convenient to define a rescaled Creutz ratio

$$\eta_r(R) = \eta(R) \left[1 - \left(\frac{2R-1}{L} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \simeq \sigma. \quad (10)$$

In Fig. 5 we plot $\eta_r(R)\xi_G^2$ versus the physical distance $r = R/\xi_G$ for the CP^9 , CP^{20} , and CP^{40} models. Starting from $r \simeq 2$ the rescaled Creutz ratios show a clear plateau which is the evidence of the string tension. A similar behavior is observed for $N = 4$. We do not see evidence of screening effects (at least up to $r \simeq 3\xi_G$, data for larger r were too noisy) confirming the picture coming from the $1/N$ expansion. Data for the CP^{20} and CP^{40} show a good agreement with the large- N prediction (7), represented by the dashed lines in Fig. 5.

5 CONCLUSION

With regard to topology and confinement, numerical results show a qualitative agreement with the continuum $1/N$ expansion for all values of N , while quantitative agreement is found for $N = 21$ and for higher values of N . On the other hand, the approach to the large- N asymptotic regime of quantities involving the mass gap appears very slow and the CP^{40} model should be still outside the region where the complete mass spectrum predicted by the $1/N$ expansion could be observed [2]. The agreement in this sector of the theory is expected to be reached at very large N , because of the large coefficient in the effective expansion parameter $6\pi/N$ that can be extracted from a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation analysis of the linear confining potential [5].

References

- [1] M. Campostrini, P. Rossi, and E. Vicari, Pisa preprint IFUP-TH 34/92, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [2] E. Vicari, Pisa preprint IFUP-TH 35/92.
- [3] M. Campostrini, P. Rossi, and E. Vicari, “Scaling and asymptotic scaling in the two-dimensional CP^{N-1} models”, these proceedings.
- [4] M. Campostrini and P. Rossi, and E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2647 (1992).

- [5] M. Campostrini and P. Rossi, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 618 (1992).
- [6] M. Campostrini and P. Rossi, Phys. Lett. B **272**, 305 (1991).
- [7] M. Lüscher, Phys. Lett. **78B**, 465 (1978).
- [8] B. Berg and M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B190**, 412 (1981).
- [9] K. Symanzik, in *Mathematical problems in theoretical physics, Lecture notes in physics 153* edited by R. Schrader et al. (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [10] G. Curci, P. Menotti, and G. Paffuti, Phys. Lett. **130B**, 205 (1983).
- [11] A. Di Giacomo and E. Vicari, Phys. Lett. B **275**, 429 (1992).
- [12] M. Teper, Phys. Lett. B **171**, 86 (1986).

Figure captions

Fig. 1: $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ versus ξ_G for the CP^3 model. We plot data of the geometrical method (for S_g “ $\square$ ” and S_g^{Sym} “ $\diamond$ ”), the field theoretical method (S_g “ $\diamond$ ”, S_g^{Sym} “ $\times$ ”), and the cooling method (S_g “ $\square$ ”, S_g^{Sym} “ $\circ$ ”).

Fig. 2: $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ versus $\ln \xi_G / \xi_G^2$ for the CP^9 model.

Fig. 3: $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ for the CP^{20} and the CP^{40} models.

Fig. 4: $\chi_t \xi_G^2$ versus $1/N$.

Fig. 5: $\eta_r(R) \xi_G^2$ versus $r = R/\xi_G$, for $N = 10$, $N = 21$, and $N = 41$. For each N we show data at two values of β .